arXiv:1409.3764v2 [math.DS] 2 Sep 2015

DIRECTIONS IN HYPERBOLIC LATTICES

JENS MARKLOF AND ILYA VINOGRADOV

Abstract. It is well known that the orbit of a lattice in hyperbolic n-space is uniformly distributed when projected radially onto the unit sphere. In the present work, we consider the fine-scale statistics of the projected lattice points, and express the limit distributions in terms of random hyperbolic lattices. This provides in particular a new perspective on recent results by Boca, Popa, and Zaharescu on 2-point correlations for the modular group, and by Kelmer and Kontorovich for general lattices in dimension $n = 2$.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{H}^n$ denote hyperbolic n-space, and G its group of orientation-preserving isometries. A discrete subgroup $\Gamma < G$ is called a *lattice* if it has a finite volume fundamental domain. We denote by Γ_w the stabilizer of $w \in \mathbb{H}^n$ in Γ. Since Γ acts properly discontinuously on $\mathbb{H}^n$, Γ_w is a finite group. Given a point $z \in \mathbb{H}^n$ we define the *direction* $\varphi_z(w)$ of a point $w \in \mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{z\}$ as the intersection of the semi-infinite geodesic ray starting at z and passing through w with the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}_z = \{w \in \mathbb{H}^n : d(w,z) = 1\}$ centered at z, where $d(w,z)$ is the hyperbolic distance between z and w. Due to the homogeneity of $\mathbb{H}^n$, we may alternatively think of $\varphi_z(w)$ as the unit tangent vector at z which is tangent to the above geodesic ray, or as the ray's endpoint on the boundary of $\mathbb{H}^n$.

The goal of the present paper is to explain the statistical distribution of directions in the orbit $\overline{w} := \Gamma w$ within distance t to a fixed observer at the point z,

$$\mathcal{P}^z_t(\overline{w}) := \{\varphi_z(\gamma w) : \gamma \in \Gamma/\Gamma_w,\ 0 < d(\gamma w, z) \leqslant t\}, \tag{1.1}$$

in the limit $t \to \infty$. Here $\mathcal{P}^z_t(\overline{w})$ is defined as a *multiset*; i.e., the directions are recorded with multiplicity so that

$$\#\mathcal{P}^z_t(\overline{w}) = \#\{\gamma \in \Gamma/\Gamma_w : 0 < d(\gamma w, z) \leqslant t\}. \tag{1.2}$$

Given any choice of origin $o \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and any isometry $g \in G$ so that $gz = o$, we have

$$\mathcal{P}^z_t(\overline{w}) = \mathcal{P}^o_t(g\overline{w}). \tag{1.3}$$

This allows us to consider instead the distribution of directions of the point set $g\overline{w}$ relative to the fixed origin o. We will in the following omit indicating the dependence on o and write $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} := \mathbb{S}^{n-1}_o$, $d(w) := d(w,o)$, etc.

It is natural to also consider the directions of lattice points in a spherical shell with outer radius t and width $s \in (0,t)$,

$$\mathcal{P}_{t,s}(g\overline{w}) := \{\varphi(g\gamma w) : \gamma \in \Gamma/\Gamma_w,\ t - s < d(g\gamma w) \leqslant t\}, \tag{1.4}$$

Date: 9 October 2014/31 July 2015.

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP/2007-2013) / ERC Grant Agreement n. 291147.

again defined as a multiset. To unify the notation for balls and shells we set $\mathcal{P}_{t,s}(g\overline{w}) := \mathcal{P}_t(g\overline{w})$ for $t \leqslant s \leqslant \infty$.

The volume of the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ in $\mathbb{H}^n$ is $\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) = \Omega_n \sinh^{n-1} 1$, where $\Omega_n := \frac{2\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}$ is the full solid angle (that is, the volume of the Euclidean unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$). As it is natural to measure directions in solid angles, we will in the following use the measure ω on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ defined by $\omega(\mathcal{A}) = \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}(\mathcal{A})/\sinh^{n-1} 1$, so that $\omega(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) = \Omega_n$.

It is well known [11, 2] that the directions $\mathcal{P}_{t,s}(g\overline{w})$ are uniformly distributed on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$; i.e., for every $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ with boundary of measure zero and $s \in (0,\infty]$, we have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\#(\mathcal{P}_{t,s}(g\overline{w}) \cap \mathcal{A})}{\#\mathcal{P}_{t,s}(g\overline{w})} = \frac{\omega(\mathcal{A})}{\Omega_n}. \tag{1.5}$$

For large t, the total number of points in the spherical shell has asymptotics

$$\begin{aligned} \#\mathcal{P}_{t,s}(g\overline{w}) &\sim \frac{\{z \in \mathbb{H}^n : t-s < d(z) \leqslant t\}}{\#\Gamma_w \,\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}^n)} \\ &\sim \Omega_n\, \vartheta\, \mathrm{e}^{(n-1)t}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

where

$$\vartheta := \frac{1-\mathrm{e}^{-(n-1)s}}{(n-1)\#\Gamma_w \,\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}^n)} \tag{1.7}$$

and $\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}^n)$ denotes the volume of a fundamental domain of the Γ-action on $\mathbb{H}^n$.

The challenge is to understand the fine-scale distribution of the point set $\mathcal{P}_{t,s}(g\overline{w})$ for large t. One example of such a statistic is the 2-point correlation function, for which a limit formula was conjectured by Boca et al. [3] in dimension $n = 2$ and proved in the special case $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ and $z = \mathrm{i}$ or $z = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\pi/3}$. The general proof of this conjecture was recently given by Kelmer and Kontorovich [8]. In the present paper we extend their limit theorems to general local statistics and to arbitrary dimension $n \geqslant 2$ by adapting the strategy developed in the Euclidean setting [10]. The key step is the reduction of convergence in distribution to equidistribution of large spheres in relevant moduli spaces.

The recent work of Risager and Södergren [13] extends the effective convergence of the 2-point correlation function in [8] to arbitrary dimension $n \geqslant 2$; it also includes an explicit formula for the limit in dimension $n = 3$. The analysis in [13] is restricted to the 2-point correlations of distances between the projected points on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. The approach presented here yields 2-point (as well as higher order) correlations of both distances and relative orientation, as we permit test sets that are not rotationally invariant.

Given $\sigma > 0$, denote by $\mathcal{D}_{t,s}(\sigma, \boldsymbol{v}) \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ the open disc of volume

$$\omega(\mathcal{D}_{t,s}(\sigma,\boldsymbol{v})) = \Omega_n \,\frac{\sigma}{\#\mathcal{P}_{t,s}(g\overline{w})} \tag{1.8}$$

centered at a point $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. When the denominator in the above equation vanishes, we set $\mathcal{D}_{t,s}(\sigma,\boldsymbol{v}) = \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. We are interested in the number of lattice directions in $\mathcal{D}_{t,s}(\sigma,\boldsymbol{v})$,

$$\mathcal{N}_{t,s}(\sigma,\boldsymbol{v};g\overline{w}) := \#(\mathcal{P}_{t,s}(g\overline{w}) \cap \mathcal{D}_{t,s}(\sigma,\boldsymbol{v})), \tag{1.9}$$

when $\boldsymbol{v}$ is distributed according to a fixed Borel probability measure λ on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. We have chosen the volume of the disc in (1.8) so that

$$\frac{1}{\Omega_n}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \mathcal{N}_{t,s}(\sigma,\boldsymbol{v};g\overline{w})\, d\omega(\boldsymbol{v}) = \sigma. \tag{1.10}$$

The asymptotic density (1.5) furthermore implies that, for any probability measure λ with continuous density,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\mathcal{N}_{t,s}(\sigma,\boldsymbol{v};g\overline{w})\,d\lambda(\boldsymbol{v})=\sigma. \tag{1.11}$$

(We will later show that this statement extends to λ with bounded density, see Theorem 17 below.)

The group G acts on G/Γ by left multiplication. In the following we denote by μ the unique G-invariant probability measure on G/Γ, which can be realized as the pushforward of the suitably normalized Haar measure on G under the natural projection $G\to G/\Gamma$. We will also denote by μ the normalized Haar measure on G.

The following theorem is our principal result.

Theorem 1. *Let λ be a Borel probability measure on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Then, for every $r\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$, $s\in(0,\infty]$ and $\sigma\in(0,\infty)$,*

$$E_s(r,\sigma;\overline{w}):=\lim_{t\to\infty}\lambda(\{\boldsymbol{v}\in\mathbb{S}^{n-1}:\mathcal{N}_{t,s}(\sigma,\boldsymbol{v};g\overline{w})=r\}) \tag{1.12}$$

exists and is given by

$$E_s(r,\sigma;\overline{w})=\mu(\{h\in G/\Gamma:\#(h\overline{w}\cap\mathcal{Z}_0(s,\sigma))=r\}), \tag{1.13}$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_0(s,\sigma)\subset\mathbb{H}^n$ is a cuspidal cone defined in (7.21) below. The limit distribution $E_s(\cdot,\sigma;\overline{w})$ is independent of λ and g, continuous in $s\in(0,\infty]$ and $\sigma\in(0,\infty)$, and satisfies

$$\lim_{\sigma\to 0}E_s(r,\sigma;\overline{w})=\begin{cases}1 & (r=0)\\ 0 & (r\geqslant 1).\end{cases} \tag{1.14}$$

If Γ is co-compact, formula (1.13) implies that for any $r\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$, $s\in(0,\infty]$ there exists a constant $\sigma_0=\sigma_0(\Gamma,r,s)$ such that $E_s(r,\sigma;\overline{w})=0$ for all $\sigma\in[\sigma_0,\infty)$.

The proof of Theorem 1 is given at the end of Section 7. We will in fact extend this result in several ways:

- Instead of the number of points in a single disc, we will also consider the joint distribution in several test sets (not necessarily discs). These statistics capture all other local correlations, such as gap or nearest neighbor distributions.
- We will prove convergence of mixed moments of all orders. This is in contrast to the Euclidean setting, where higher order moments diverge [4]. The second order mixed moment corresponds to the 2-point correlation function considered in dimension $n=2$ by Boca, Popa, and Zaharescu for the modular group [3] and by Kelmer and Kontorovich for general lattices [8].
- If Γ contains a parabolic subgroup Γ_∞, it is natural to consider an observer positioned at the fixed point of Γ_∞ on $\partial\mathbb{H}^n$, the boundary of $\mathbb{H}^n$. In this case, the directions correspond to the projections of the orbit Γw onto a closed horosphere in $\Gamma_\infty\backslash\mathbb{H}^n$. The uniform distribution of the projected orbit was proved by Good [7, 14] (see also Rudnick and Risager [12] for an interesting number-theoretic application). We will show that local statistics have the same limit distribution as in the non-cuspidal case.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews some of the basic concepts of hyperbolic geometry that are used in our subsequent analysis. Section 3 comprises the equidistribution

theorem for large horospheres, which is the key ingredient in the present study. The main results of this paper can be found in Sections 4 and 7, where we state and prove the convergence of the local statistics for directions observed by a cuspidal observer (Section 4) and non-cuspidal observer (Section 7). The latter result requires equidistribution of large spheres, which is derived from the equidistribution for large horospheres in Section 6. The convergence of moments for cuspidal and non-cuspidal observers is discussed in Sections 5 and 8, respectively. We have arranged this paper to first give a full account in the cuspidal case (Sections 3–5), which is technically simpler, and then explain the necessary adjustments for the non-cuspidal setting (Sections 6–8).

The Appendix shows how the 2-point correlation density is recovered from the second mixed moment, and reproduces the known formulas in dimension $n = 2$.

2. Hyperbolic geometry

In dimension $n = 2$, a convenient representation of the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}^2$ is given by the complex upper half-plane $\{x + \mathrm{i}y : x \in \mathbb{R},\ y \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}\}$. The advantage of the complex notation is that the action of the isometry group is given by Möbius transformations

$$\mathbb{H}^2 \to \mathbb{H}^2, \qquad z \mapsto \frac{az+b}{cz+d}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R}). \tag{2.1}$$

This model can be extended to higher dimension [1], if one replaces complex numbers with Clifford numbers. We will here use the notation of Waterman [16] which is slightly different from Alfohrs' [1].

The *Clifford algebra* C_m is a real associative algebra generated by $\mathrm{i}_1, \mathrm{i}_2, \dots, \mathrm{i}_m$ subject to the conditions $\mathrm{i}_l^2 = -1$ and $\mathrm{i}_j\mathrm{i}_l = -\mathrm{i}_l\mathrm{i}_j$ whenever $j \neq l$. Thus, every $a \in C_m$ can be expressed as

$$a = \sum_I a_I I, \tag{2.2}$$

where the sum ranges over all products $I = \mathrm{i}_{\nu_1} \cdots \mathrm{i}_{\nu_l}$ with $1 \leqslant \nu_1 < \cdots < \nu_l \leqslant m$ and $a_I \in \mathbb{R}$. The null product is also included and represents the real number 1. The algebra C_m forms a vector space of dimension 2^m over $\mathbb{R}$, and we take the norm $|a|^2 = \sum_I a_I^2$ on it. There are three useful involutions acting on C_m. The map $a \mapsto a'$ replaces every occurrence of i_l by $-\mathrm{i}_l$; it is an algebra automorphism. The map $a \mapsto a^*$ replaces each $I = \mathrm{i}_{\nu_1} \cdots \mathrm{i}_{\nu_l}$ in (2.2) by $\mathrm{i}_{\nu_l} \cdots \mathrm{i}_{\nu_1}$; it is an algebra anti-automorphism. The third involution is the composition of the first two, $a \mapsto a'^* =: \bar{a}$.

The algebra contains special elements called *Clifford vectors*, which are those of the form $\boldsymbol{x} = x_0 + x_1\mathrm{i}_1 + \cdots + x_m\mathrm{i}_m$. We denote the corresponding vector space by V_m. We will identify V_m with $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ in the following via $\boldsymbol{x} \mapsto (x_0, \dots, x_m)$. Clifford vectors satisfy $\boldsymbol{x}^* = \boldsymbol{x}$, $\bar{\boldsymbol{x}} = \boldsymbol{x}'$, and also $\boldsymbol{x}\bar{\boldsymbol{x}} = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{x} = |\boldsymbol{x}|^2$. In particular, non-zero vectors are invertible, since $\boldsymbol{x}^{-1} = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}/|\boldsymbol{x}|^2$. Products of invertible vectors are also invertible and form a multiplicative group, Δ_m, called the *Clifford group*.

We define the matrix groups

$$\mathrm{GL}(2, C_m) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{a} & \boldsymbol{b} \\ \boldsymbol{c} & \boldsymbol{d} \end{pmatrix} : \begin{array}{r} \boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{d} \in \Delta_m \cup \{0\}; \\ \boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{b}^*, \boldsymbol{c}\boldsymbol{d}^*, \boldsymbol{c}^*\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{d}^*\boldsymbol{b} \in V_{m+1}; \\ \boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{d}^* - \boldsymbol{b}\boldsymbol{c}^* \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \end{array} \right\}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\mathrm{SL}(2,C_m) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{a} & \boldsymbol{b} \\ \boldsymbol{c} & \boldsymbol{d} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}(2,C_m) : \boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{d}^* - \boldsymbol{b}\boldsymbol{c}^* = 1 \right\}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\mathrm{SU}(2,C_m) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{a} & \boldsymbol{b} \\ -\boldsymbol{b}' & \boldsymbol{a}' \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2,C_m) \right\}. \tag{2.5}$$

We represent hyperbolic n-space as the upper half-space

$$\mathbb{H}^n = \{\boldsymbol{x} + \mathrm{j}\, y : \boldsymbol{x} \in V_{n-2},\ y \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}\}, \qquad \mathrm{j} := \mathrm{i}_{n-1}. \tag{2.6}$$

We will identify j as the origin o in $\mathbb{H}^n$ and, following the analogy with the two-dimensional setting, write $\mathrm{Re}(z) := \boldsymbol{x}$ and $\mathrm{Im}(z) := y$ for the "real" and "imaginary" part of $z = \boldsymbol{x} + \mathrm{j}\, y$. The Riemannian metric of $\mathbb{H}^n$ is defined by

$$ds^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} dx_i^2 + dy^2}{y^2}. \tag{2.7}$$

The corresponding volume element is

$$d\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n} = \frac{dx_0 \cdots dx_{n-2} dy}{y^n}. \tag{2.8}$$

The action of $\mathrm{SL}(2,C_{n-2})$ on $\mathbb{H}^n$ defined by the Möbius transformation

$$\mathbb{H}^n \to \mathbb{H}^n, \qquad z \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{a} & \boldsymbol{b} \\ \boldsymbol{c} & \boldsymbol{d} \end{pmatrix} z = (\boldsymbol{a}z + \boldsymbol{b})(\boldsymbol{c}z + \boldsymbol{d})^{-1} \tag{2.9}$$

(with multiplication from C_{n-1}) is isometric and orientation-preserving. Its kernel is $\{\pm 1\}$, so that

$$G := \mathrm{PSL}(2,C_{n-2}) = \mathrm{SL}(2,C_{n-2})/\{\pm 1\} \tag{2.10}$$

is isomorphic to the group of orientation-preserving isometries of $\mathbb{H}^n$ [16]. We also note that the Möbius transformations preserve the boundary of hyperbolic space,

$$\partial\mathbb{H}^n := V_{n-2} \cup \{\infty\}. \tag{2.11}$$

The stabilizer of j under this action is

$$K := \mathrm{PSU}(2,C_{n-2}) = \mathrm{SU}(2,C_{n-2})/\{\pm 1\}, \tag{2.12}$$

which is the maximal compact subgroup of G. Every $g \in G$ can be uniquely written as (Iwasawa decomposition)

$$g = n(\boldsymbol{x})a(y)k \tag{2.13}$$

with

$$n(\boldsymbol{x}) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \boldsymbol{x} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad a(y) := \begin{pmatrix} y^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & y^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad k \in K, \tag{2.14}$$

and $\boldsymbol{x} \in V_{n-2}$, $y > 0$. The Iwasawa decomposition yields a natural identification $\mathbb{H}^n \cong G/K$. Thus G can be represented as a frame bundle over $\mathbb{H}^n$ with fiber K, which in dimension $n = 2$ (only) can be identified with the unit tangent bundle of $\mathbb{H}^2$. The Haar measure on G can thus be written as

$$d\mu(g) = \varkappa\, d\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(z)\, dm(k) \tag{2.15}$$

where $\varkappa$ is a normalizing constant, and m is the Haar probability measure on K. We have assumed above that μ is a probability measure on G/Γ. Since G is unimodular we have $\mu(\Gamma\backslash G) = 1$ and hence $\varkappa = \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}^n)^{-1}$.

3. Equidistribution of large horospheres

We now state the key equidistribution theorem from which all other results will follow. Let $\Gamma < G$ be a lattice, and let

$$\Phi^t = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{e}^{t/2} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{e}^{-t/2} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.1}$$

The one-parameter subgroup $\Phi^{\mathbb{R}} := \{\Phi^t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ acts by left multiplication on the coset space G/Γ. The horospherical subgroup $\{n(\boldsymbol{x}) : \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\}$ parametrizes the unstable manifold of Φ^t for $t \to \infty$. The following theorem states that translates of horospheres become uniformly distributed in G/Γ.

Theorem 2. *Let λ be a Borel probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Then, for any bounded continuous function $f : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times G/\Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$ and any family of uniformly bounded continuous functions $f_t : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times G/\Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_t \to f$ as $t \to \infty$, uniformly on compacta, and for every $g \in G$, we have*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} f_t\left(\boldsymbol{x}, \Phi^t n(\boldsymbol{x}) g\right) d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times G/\Gamma} f(\boldsymbol{x}, h)\, d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x})\, d\mu(h). \tag{3.2}$$

This theorem follows from the mixing property of the $\Phi^{\mathbb{R}}$ action by an argument that goes back to Margulis' thesis [9]; see also the influential paper by Eskin and McMullen [5]. Precise rates of convergence are obtained by Södergren in the case of K-invariant functions [15]. The test functions used in these papers usually do not depend on t and $\boldsymbol{x}$. The extension to the formulation used here follows from a simple approximation argument, cf. the proof of Theorem 5.3 in [10]. The extra t and $\boldsymbol{x}$ dependence will be useful in proving the equidistribution of translates of spherical averages in G/Γ, see Section 6.

The following Corollary of Theorem 2 follows from the same argument as the proof of Theorem 5.6 in [10]. We recall the definition of limits of a family of sets $\{\mathcal{E}_t\}_{t\geqslant t_0}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times G/\Gamma$, where t_0 is a fixed real constant:

$$\liminf \mathcal{E}_t := \bigcup_{t\geqslant t_0} \bigcap_{s\geqslant t} \mathcal{E}_s, \qquad \limsup \mathcal{E}_t := \bigcap_{t\geqslant t_0} \bigcup_{s\geqslant t} \mathcal{E}_s. \tag{3.3}$$

We furthermore define

$$\lim(\inf \mathcal{E}_t)^\circ := \bigcup_{t\geqslant t_0} \left(\bigcap_{s\geqslant t} \mathcal{E}_s \right)^\circ, \qquad \lim \overline{\sup \mathcal{E}_t} := \bigcap_{t\geqslant t_0} \overline{\bigcup_{s\geqslant t} \mathcal{E}_s}. \tag{3.4}$$

Note that $\lim(\inf \mathcal{E}_t)^\circ$ is open and $\lim \overline{\sup \mathcal{E}_t}$ is closed. $\chi_{\mathcal{E}}$ denotes the indicator function of the set $\mathcal{E}$; i.e., $\chi_{\mathcal{E}}(x) = 1$ if $x \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\chi_{\mathcal{E}}(x) = 0$ otherwise.

Corollary 3. *Let λ be a Borel probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Then, for any family of subsets $\mathcal{E}_t \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times G/\Gamma$ and any $g \in G/\Gamma$, we have*

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \chi_{\mathcal{E}_t}(\boldsymbol{x}, \Phi^t n(\boldsymbol{x}) g)\, d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) \geqslant \int_{\lim(\inf \mathcal{E}_t)^\circ} d\lambda\, d\mu, \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\chi_{\mathcal{E}_t}(\boldsymbol{x},\Phi^t n(\boldsymbol{x})g)\,d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x})\leqslant\int_{\overline{\limsup\mathcal{E}_t}}d\lambda\,d\mu. \tag{3.6}$$

If furthermore $\lambda\times\mu$ gives zero measure to the set $\overline{\limsup\mathcal{E}_t}\setminus\liminf(\mathcal{E}_t)^\circ$, then

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\chi_{\mathcal{E}_t}(\boldsymbol{x},\Phi^t n(\boldsymbol{x})g)\,d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x})=\int_{\limsup\mathcal{E}_t}d\lambda\,d\mu. \tag{3.7}$$

4. Projection statistics for cuspidal observer

We assume in this section that the lattice $\Gamma<G$ contains a parabolic subgroup and hence also a maximal parabolic subgroup Γ_∞. By conjugating Γ by a suitable element of G, we may assume without loss of generality that

$$\Gamma_\infty=\{n(\boldsymbol{m}):\boldsymbol{m}\in\mathcal{L}\}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ with covolume one. Geometrically, this means that the hyperbolic orbifold $\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}^n$ has a cusp at ∞, whose cross-section we identify with the torus $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}=\mathbb{R}^{n-1}/\mathcal{L}$ of volume one. Γ_∞ is the parabolic stabilizer of the cusp at ∞; in dimension $n>2$ there may also be elliptic elements in Γ that leave ∞ invariant, but we will not include these in Γ_∞.

We now position our observer at the cusp at ∞ and consider—instead of radial projections (1.4)—the following vertical projections of the orbit $\overline{w}=\Gamma w$ onto the torus $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$:

$$\mathcal{P}^\infty_{t,s}(\overline{w}):=\{\mathrm{Re}(\gamma w):\gamma\in\Gamma_\infty\backslash\Gamma/\Gamma_w,\ \mathrm{e}^{-t}\leqslant\mathrm{Im}(\gamma w)<\mathrm{e}^{s-t}\}, \tag{4.2}$$

again considered as a multiset. It follows from the work of Good [6] that, for s fixed, $\mathcal{P}^\infty_{t,s}(\overline{w})$ is uniformly distributed on $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$. That is, for every $\mathcal{A}\subset\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$ with boundary of measure zero, we have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{\#(\mathcal{P}^\infty_{t,s}(\overline{w})\cap\mathcal{A})}{\#\mathcal{P}^\infty_{t,s}(\overline{w})}=\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}}(\mathcal{A}). \tag{4.3}$$

We recall also that for $t\to\infty$

$$\#\mathcal{P}^\infty_{t,s}(\overline{w})\sim\vartheta\,\mathrm{e}^{(n-1)t}, \tag{4.4}$$

with ϑ as in (1.7).

To measure the fine-scale statistics of $\mathcal{P}^\infty_{t,s}(\overline{w})$, consider the following rescaled test sets in $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$,

$$\mathcal{B}_{t,s}(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{x})=N^{-1/(n-1)}\mathcal{A}-\boldsymbol{x}+\mathcal{L}\subset\mathbb{T}^{n-1},\qquad N=\#\mathcal{P}^\infty_{t,s}(\overline{w}), \tag{4.5}$$

where $\mathcal{A}\subset\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is a fixed bounded set with boundary of Lebesgue measure zero. The shift $\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$ is assumed to be random according to some probability measure λ. The random variable we use to detect correlations in the directions $\mathcal{P}^\infty_{t,s}(\overline{w})$ is the number of points in $\mathcal{B}_{t,s}(\boldsymbol{x})$,

$$\mathcal{N}^\infty_{t,s}(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{x};\overline{w}):=\#(\mathcal{P}^\infty_{t,s}(\overline{w})\cap\mathcal{B}_{t,s}(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{x})). \tag{4.6}$$

If $\lambda=\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}}$ is the normalized Lebesgue measure, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}}\mathcal{N}^\infty_{t,s}(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{x};\overline{w})\,d\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}}(\boldsymbol{x})=\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\mathcal{A}. \tag{4.7}$$

Uniform distribution of $\mathcal{P}^\infty_{t,s}(\overline{w})$ (4.3) implies that for any λ with continuous density, the expectation value of $\mathcal{N}^\infty_{t,s}(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{x};\overline{w})$ converges:

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}}\mathcal{N}^\infty_{t,s}(\mathcal{A},\boldsymbol{x};\overline{w})\,d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x})=\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\mathcal{A}. \tag{4.8}$$

The following theorem considers convergence in distribution for several test sets $\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_m$:

Theorem 4. *Let λ be a Borel probability measure on $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$ absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Then, for every $r=(r_1,\dots,r_m)\in\mathbb{Z}^m_{\geqslant 0}$, $s\in(0,\infty]$ and $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_1\times\cdots\times\mathcal{A}_m$ with $\mathcal{A}_j\subset\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ bounded with boundary of Lebesgue measure zero,*

$$E_s(r,\mathcal{A};\overline{w}):=\lim_{t\to\infty}\lambda(\{\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{T}^{n-1}:\mathcal{N}^\infty_{t,s}(\mathcal{A}_j,\boldsymbol{x};\overline{w})=r_j\ \forall j\}) \tag{4.9}$$

exists and is given by

$$E_s(r,\mathcal{A};\overline{w})=\mu(\{g\in G/\Gamma:\#(g\overline{w}\cap\mathcal{Z}(s,\mathcal{A}_j))=r_j\ \forall j\}), \tag{4.10}$$

where

$$\mathcal{Z}(s,\mathcal{A}_j):=\{z\in\mathbb{H}^n:\mathrm{Re}\,z\in\vartheta^{-1/(n-1)}\mathcal{A}_j,\ 1\leqslant\mathrm{Im}\,z<\mathrm{e}^s\}. \tag{4.11}$$

The limit distribution $E_s(r,\mathcal{A};\overline{w})$ is independent of λ, and continuous in s and $\mathcal{A}$.

By "*continuous in $\mathcal{A}$*" we mean here more specifically that there is a constant C such that

$$\left|E_s(r,\mathcal{A};\overline{w})-E_s(r,\mathcal{B};\overline{w})\right|\leqslant C\,\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{R}^{m(n-1)}}(\mathcal{B}\setminus\mathcal{A}) \tag{4.12}$$

for all product sets $\mathcal{A}\subset\mathcal{B}\subset\mathbb{R}^{m(n-1)}$ as in Theorem 4.

The following lemma implies the continuity asserted in Theorem 4. For $\mathcal{A}\subset\mathbb{H}^n$ and $r\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$, write

$$[\mathcal{A}]_{\leqslant r}:=\{g\in G/\Gamma:\#(\mathcal{A}\cap g\overline{w})\leqslant r\} \tag{4.13}$$

$$[\mathcal{A}]_{=r}:=\{g\in G/\Gamma:\#(\mathcal{A}\cap g\overline{w})=r\} \tag{4.14}$$

$$[\mathcal{A}]_{\geqslant r}:=\{g\in G/\Gamma:\#(\mathcal{A}\cap g\overline{w})\geqslant r\}. \tag{4.15}$$

Lemma 5. *For any measurable $\mathcal{A}\subset\mathcal{B}\subset\mathbb{H}^n$ with finite volume, we have*

$$\mu([\mathcal{A}]_{\geqslant 1})\leqslant\frac{\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n}\mathcal{A}}{\#\Gamma_w\,\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}^n)}, \tag{4.16}$$

$$|\mu([\mathcal{A}]_{=r})-\mu([\mathcal{B}]_{=r})|\leqslant\frac{\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(\mathcal{B}\setminus\mathcal{A})}{\#\Gamma_w\,\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}^n)} \tag{4.17}$$

and

$$0\leqslant\mu([\mathcal{A}]_{\leqslant r})-\mu([\mathcal{B}]_{\leqslant r})\leqslant\frac{\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(\mathcal{B}\setminus\mathcal{A})}{\#\Gamma_w\,\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}^n)}. \tag{4.18}$$

Proof. By Chebyshev's inequality,

$$\mu\{g\in G/\Gamma:\#(\mathcal{A}\cap g\overline{w})\geqslant 1\}\leqslant\int_{G/\Gamma}\#(\mathcal{A}\cap g\overline{w})d\mu(g). \tag{4.19}$$

Combining the integral over G/Γ with the sum over Γ gives, by the standard unfolding technique,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{G/\Gamma} \#(\mathcal{A}\cap g\overline{w})d\mu(g) &= \int_{G/\Gamma}\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma/\Gamma_w}\chi_{\mathcal{A}}(g\gamma w)d\mu(g)\\ &= \frac{1}{\#\Gamma_w}\int_G \chi_{\mathcal{A}}(gw)d\mu(g)\\ &= \frac{1}{\#\Gamma_w}\int_G \chi_{\mathcal{A}}(g\,\mathrm{j})d\mu(g)\\ &= \frac{\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n}\mathcal{A}}{\#\Gamma_w\,\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}^n)}\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

in view of (2.15). This proves (4.16). Relations (4.17) and (4.18) follow from the inequalities

$$|\mu([\mathcal{A}]_{=r})-\mu([\mathcal{B}]_{=r})|\leqslant\mu([\mathcal{B}\setminus\mathcal{A}]_{\geqslant 1}) \tag{4.21}$$

and

$$0\leqslant\mu([\mathcal{A}]_{\leqslant r})-\mu([\mathcal{B}]_{\leqslant r})\leqslant\mu([\mathcal{B}\setminus\mathcal{A}]_{\geqslant 1}). \tag{4.22}$$

□

Lemma 6. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 4, given $\varepsilon>0$ there exist t_0 and bounded subsets $\mathcal{A}_j^-,\mathcal{A}_j^+\subset\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ with boundary of measure zero such that*

$$\mathcal{A}_j^-\subset\mathcal{A}_j\subset\mathcal{A}_j^+,\qquad \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}(\mathcal{A}_j^+\setminus\mathcal{A}_j^-)<\varepsilon \tag{4.23}$$

and, for all $t\geqslant t_0$,

$$\#(\Phi^t n(\boldsymbol{x})\overline{w}\cap\mathcal{Z}(s,\mathcal{A}_j^-))\leqslant\mathcal{N}_{t,s}^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_j,\boldsymbol{x};\overline{w})\leqslant\#(\Phi^t n(\boldsymbol{x})\overline{w}\cap\mathcal{Z}(s,\mathcal{A}_j^+)). \tag{4.24}$$

Proof. In view of (4.5) we have

$$\mathcal{N}_{t,s}^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_j,\boldsymbol{x};\overline{w})=\#(\Phi^t n(\boldsymbol{x})\overline{w}\cap\mathcal{Z}(s,\mathrm{e}^t\vartheta^{1/(n-1)}N^{-1/(n-1)}\mathcal{A}_j)). \tag{4.25}$$

The asymptotics (4.4) shows that $\mathrm{e}^t\vartheta^{1/(n-1)}N^{-1/(n-1)}\to 1$ and hence the lemma. □

We extend the definition of the cuspidal cone in (4.11) to

$$\mathcal{Z}(a,b,\mathcal{A}_j):=\{z\in\mathbb{H}^n:\mathrm{Re}\,z\in\vartheta^{-1/(n-1)}\mathcal{A}_j,\ \mathrm{e}^a\leqslant\mathrm{Im}\,z<\mathrm{e}^b\}, \tag{4.26}$$

where $-\infty<a<b\leqslant\infty$.

Lemma 7. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 4, we have for all $s\geqslant 0$*

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{t\to\infty}\big|&\lambda(\{\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{T}^{n-1}:\#(\Phi^t n(\boldsymbol{x})\overline{w}\cap\mathcal{Z}(\infty,\mathcal{A}_j))\leqslant r_j\,\forall j\})\\ &-\lambda(\{\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{T}^{n-1}:\#(\Phi^t n(\boldsymbol{x})\overline{w}\cap\mathcal{Z}(s,\mathcal{A}_j))\leqslant r_j\,\forall j\})\big|\leqslant\mathrm{e}^{-(n-1)s/2}(\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}})^{1/2}\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}=\cup_j\mathcal{A}_j$.

Proof. Using equation (4.6) we bound from above the left hand side of (4.27), without the lim sup, by

$$\begin{aligned}&\lambda(\{\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{T}^{n-1}:\#(\Phi^t n(\boldsymbol{x})\overline{w}\cap\mathcal{Z}(s,\infty,\mathcal{A}_j))\geqslant 1\,\text{for some }j\})\\ &\qquad=\lambda(\{\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{T}^{n-1}:\#(\Phi^t n(\boldsymbol{x})\overline{w}\cap\mathcal{Z}(s,\infty,\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}))\geqslant 1\}).\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\#(\Phi^t n(\boldsymbol{x})\overline{w}\cap\mathcal{Z}(s,\infty,\widetilde{\mathcal{A}})) &= \#(\Phi^{t-s} n(\boldsymbol{x})\overline{w}\cap\mathcal{Z}(0,\infty,\mathrm{e}^{-s}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}))\\ &= \mathcal{N}^\infty_{t-s,\infty}(\eta_{t,s}\mathrm{e}^{-s}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\boldsymbol{x};\overline{w}),\end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

where $\eta_{t,s}\to 1$ as $t\to\infty$.

For any $R\geqslant 1$, Chebyshev's inequality implies the bound

$$(4.28)\leqslant\int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}}\mathcal{N}^\infty_{t-s,\infty}(\eta_{t,s}\mathrm{e}^{-s}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\boldsymbol{x};\overline{w})\,d\lambda_R(\boldsymbol{x})+\frac{1}{R}, \tag{4.30}$$

where λ_R is the Borel measure with density $\lambda_R'(\boldsymbol{x}):=\min(\lambda'(\boldsymbol{x}),R)$. In view of eq. (4.7),

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}}\mathcal{N}^\infty_{t-s,\infty}(\eta_{t,s}\mathrm{e}^{-s}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\boldsymbol{x};\overline{w})\,d\lambda_R(\boldsymbol{x})\\ &\leqslant R\int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}}\mathcal{N}^\infty_{t-s,\infty}(\eta_{t,s}\mathrm{e}^{-s}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\boldsymbol{x};\overline{w})\,d\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}}(\boldsymbol{x})\\ &= R\,\eta_{t,s}^{n-1}\mathrm{e}^{-(n-1)s}\,\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}\\ &\to R\,\mathrm{e}^{-(n-1)s}\,\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}\end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

as $t\to\infty$. The lemma is obtained by choosing $R=(\mathrm{e}^{-(n-1)s}\,\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}})^{-1/2}$. □

Proof of Theorem 4. It is sufficient to show that, for every $r=(r_1,\dots,r_m)\in\mathbb{Z}^m_{\geqslant 0}$ and $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_1\times\cdots\times\mathcal{A}_m$ with $\mathcal{A}_j\subset\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ bounded with boundary of Lebesgue measure zero,

$$\lambda(\{\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{T}^{n-1}:\mathcal{N}^\infty_{t,s}(\mathcal{A}_j,\boldsymbol{x};\overline{w})\leqslant r_j\ \forall j\})\to\mu(\{g\in G/\Gamma:\#(g\overline{w}\cap\mathcal{Z}(s,\mathcal{A}_j))\leqslant r_j\ \forall j\}) \tag{4.32}$$

as $t\to\infty$.

The left hand side equals

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}}\chi_{\mathcal{E}_t}(\boldsymbol{x},\Phi^t n(\boldsymbol{x}))\,d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) \tag{4.33}$$

with

$$\mathcal{E}_t=\mathbb{T}^{n-1}\times\{g\in G/\Gamma:\#(g\overline{w}\cap\mathcal{Z}(s,\mathrm{e}^t\vartheta^{1/(n-1)}N^{-1/(n-1)}\mathcal{A}_j))\leqslant r_j\ \forall j\}. \tag{4.34}$$

The plan is now to apply Corollary 3 (where we identify λ with a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ supported on a fundamental domain of $\mathcal{L}$). Given any $\varepsilon>0$, define (with the notation as in Lemma 6)

$$\mathcal{E}_s^\pm=\mathbb{T}^{n-1}\times\{g\in G/\Gamma:\#(g\overline{w}\cap\mathcal{Z}(s,\mathcal{A}_j^\pm))\leqslant r_j\ \forall j\} \tag{4.35}$$

and note that $\mathcal{E}_s^+\subset\mathcal{E}_t\subset\mathcal{E}_s^-$ for all $t\geqslant t_0$. We assume first $s<\infty$. Then Corollary 3 yields

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}}\chi_{\mathcal{E}_t}(\boldsymbol{x},\Phi^t n(\boldsymbol{x}))\,d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x})\leqslant\mu(\overline{\mathcal{E}_s^-}) \tag{4.36}$$

and

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}}\chi_{\mathcal{E}_t}(\boldsymbol{x},\Phi^t n(\boldsymbol{x}))\,d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x})\geqslant\mu((\mathcal{E}_s^+)^\circ). \tag{4.37}$$

Lemmas 5 and 6 together with the fact that $\mathcal{Z}(s,\mathcal{A}_j^\pm)$ is bounded when $s<\infty$ imply that $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\mu(\overline{\mathcal{E}_s^-}\setminus(\mathcal{E}_s^+)^\circ)=0$. This proves (4.32) for $s<\infty$.

Let us assume now that $s = \infty$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\mathcal{A}_j^{\pm}$ as above, by Lemma 7 there exists $s_\varepsilon < \infty$ so that

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}} \chi_{\mathcal{E}_t}(\boldsymbol{x}, \Phi^t n(\boldsymbol{x}))\, d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) \leqslant \mu(\overline{\mathcal{E}^-_{s_\varepsilon}}) + \varepsilon \tag{4.38}$$

and

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}} \chi_{\mathcal{E}_t}(\boldsymbol{x}, \Phi^t n(\boldsymbol{x}))\, d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) \geqslant \mu((\mathcal{E}^+_{s_\varepsilon})^\circ) - \varepsilon. \tag{4.39}$$

Again, by Lemmas 5 and 6, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \mu(\overline{\mathcal{E}^-_{s_\varepsilon}}) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \mu((\mathcal{E}^+_{s_\varepsilon})^\circ) = \mu(\{g \in G/\Gamma : \#(g\overline{w} \cap \mathcal{Z}(\infty, \mathcal{A}_j)) \leqslant r_j \ \forall j\}) \tag{4.40}$$

which completes the proof of (4.32) for $s = \infty$. □

Remark 4.1. Note that for $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$

$$\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n} \mathcal{Z}(s, \mathcal{A}) = \frac{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-(n-1)s}}{(n-1)\vartheta}\ \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \mathcal{A} = \#\Gamma_w \,\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}^n)\ \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \mathcal{A}. \tag{4.41}$$

By the same calculation as in (4.20) we have for the expectation value of the limit distribution,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} r E_s(r, \mathcal{A}; \overline{w}) &= \int_{G/\Gamma} \#(g\overline{w} \cap \mathcal{Z}(s, \mathcal{A}))\, d\mu(g) \\ &= \frac{\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n} \mathcal{Z}(s, \mathcal{A})}{\#\Gamma_w \,\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}^n)} = \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \mathcal{A}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.42}$$

which is, of course, consistent with (4.8).

Remark 4.2. Theorem 4 has the following interpretation in the language of random point processes. For each $t \geqslant 0$, the point set

$$\Xi_t := N^{1/(n-1)}(\mathcal{P}^\infty_{t,s}(\overline{w}) + \boldsymbol{x} + \mathcal{L}) \tag{4.43}$$

defines, with the random variable $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{T}^{n-1}$ distributed according to λ, a random point process on $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Theorem 4 says that this point process converges in finite-dimensional distribution to a random point process on $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ defined by

$$\Xi := \{\vartheta^{1/(n-1)} \,\mathrm{Re}(g\gamma w) : \gamma \in \Gamma/\Gamma_w,\ 1 \leqslant \mathrm{Im}(g\gamma w) < \mathrm{e}^s\}, \tag{4.44}$$

where $g \in G/\Gamma$ is a random variable distributed according to μ. In view of (4.42), the limit process has intensity one and, due to the G-invariance of μ, is invariant under all translations and rotations of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.

5. Convergence of moments for cuspidal observer

Given bounded test sets $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_m \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ as above, we define the moment generating function

$$\mathbb{G}^\infty_{t,s}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m; \mathcal{A}) := \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}} \exp\Big(\sum_{j=1}^m \tau_j \mathcal{N}^\infty_{t,s}(\mathcal{A}_j, \boldsymbol{x}; \overline{w})\Big) d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}), \tag{5.1}$$

which is analytic in all variables, and the moment generating function of the limit distribution,

$$\mathbb{G}_s(\tau_1,\dots,\tau_m;\mathcal{A}) := \sum_{r_1,\dots,r_m=0}^{\infty} \exp\Bigg(\sum_{j=1}^{m}\tau_j r_j\Bigg) E_s(r,\mathcal{A};\overline{w}). \tag{5.2}$$

We denote the positive real part of a complex number τ by $\mathrm{Re}_+\,\tau := \max(\mathrm{Re}\,\tau, 0)$.

Theorem 8. *Let λ be a probability measure on $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$ with bounded density, and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{A}_m$ with $\mathcal{A}_j \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ bounded with boundary of Lebesgue measure zero. Then there is a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that for $\mathrm{Re}_+\,\tau_1 + \ldots + \mathrm{Re}_+\,\tau_m < c_0$, $s \in (0,\infty]$,*

(i) $\mathbb{G}_s(\tau_1,\dots,\tau_m;\mathcal{A})$ *is analytic,*
(ii) $\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathbb{G}^{\infty}_{t,s}(\tau_1,\dots,\tau_m;\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{G}_s(\tau_1,\dots,\tau_m;\mathcal{A})$.

This theorem implies, by a standard argument, convergence of mixed moments of the form

$$\mathbb{M}^{\infty}_{t,s}(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_m;\mathcal{A}) := \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \big(\mathcal{N}^{\infty}_{t,s}(\mathcal{A}_j,\boldsymbol{x};\overline{w})\big)^{\beta_j}\, d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) \tag{5.3}$$

for all $\beta_j \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$. The corresponding limit moment is

$$\mathbb{M}_s(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_m;\mathcal{A}) := \sum_{r_1,\dots,r_m=0}^{\infty} r_1^{\beta_1}\cdots r_m^{\beta_m} E_s(r,\mathcal{A};\overline{w}). \tag{5.4}$$

Corollary 9. *Let λ be a probability measure on $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$ with bounded density, and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{A}_m$ with $\mathcal{A}_j \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ bounded with boundary of Lebesgue measure zero. Then, for all $\beta_1,\dots,\beta_m \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$, $s \in (0,\infty]$,*

(i) $\mathbb{M}_s(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_m;\mathcal{A}) < \infty$,
(ii) $\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathbb{M}^{\infty}_{t,s}(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_m;\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{M}_s(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_m;\mathcal{A})$.

Moreover it follows from (4.10) that all moments with $\beta_j \in \mathbb{N}$ can be computed from explicit formulas; for $\beta_1 = 1,\dots,\beta_m = 1$, the formula reads

(5.5)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{M}_s(1,\dots,1;\mathcal{A}) &= \int_{G/\Gamma} \sum_{\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_m\in\Gamma/\Gamma_w} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \mathbb{1}\big(g\gamma_j w \in \mathcal{Z}(s,\mathcal{A}_j)\big)\, d\mu(g)\\ &= \frac{1}{\#\Gamma_w} \sum_{\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_{m-1}\in\Gamma/\Gamma_w} \int_G \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{1}\big(g\gamma_j w \in \mathcal{Z}(s,\mathcal{A}_j)\big)\mathbb{1}\big(gw \in \mathcal{Z}(s,\mathcal{A}_m)\big)\, d\mu(g),\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

and, as previously noted (4.42),

$$\mathbb{M}_s(1;\mathcal{A}) = \frac{1}{\#\Gamma_w}\int_G \mathbb{1}\big(gw \in \mathcal{Z}(s,\mathcal{A})\big)\, d\mu(g) = \frac{\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n}\,\mathcal{Z}(s,\mathcal{A})}{\#\Gamma_w\,\mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}^n)} = \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\,\mathcal{A}. \tag{5.7}$$

The Boolean function $\mathbb{1}\big(\mathrm{B}\big)$ is defined by

$$\mathbb{1}\big(\mathrm{B}\big) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if B = TRUE}\\ 0 & \text{if B = FALSE.}\end{cases} \tag{5.8}$$

Remark 5.1. The convergence of the 2-point correlation function follows from Corollary 9 for the second mixed moment ($m = 2$) by choosing as test set $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}' \times \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon$, where $\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon$ is a ball of small radius $\varepsilon \to 0$. This is a fairly standard argument, cf. for instance [4, Appendix 1]. We will show in the Appendix that this recovers the known formulas in dimension $n = 2$.

The proof of Theorem 8 will exploit the following three lemmas. Let

$$\delta(g\overline{w}) := \min_{\substack{\gamma_1,\gamma_2\in\Gamma\\ \gamma_1\notin\gamma_2\Gamma_w}} d(g\gamma_1 w, g\gamma_2 w). \tag{5.9}$$

Since G acts by isometries, we have in fact

$$\delta(g\overline{w}) = \min_{\gamma\in\Gamma\backslash\Gamma_w} d(w,\gamma w) = \delta(\overline{w}). \tag{5.10}$$

Since G acts properly discontinuously, we have $\delta(\overline{w}) > 0$.

If $-\infty < a < s < \infty$ and $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is bounded, then $\mathcal{Z}(a, s, \mathcal{A})$ is bounded. Hence the number of points in $g\overline{w} \cap \mathcal{Z}(a, s, \mathcal{A})$ has an upper bound which is uniform in g. This in turn implies that all moments converge. The interesting case is thus $s = \infty$.

Lemma 10. *Fix $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and a bounded subset $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. There exist positive constants ζ, η so that for all $g \in G$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\big[\#(g\overline{w} \cap \mathcal{Z}(a,\infty,\mathcal{A})) \geqslant r\big] \quad \Rightarrow \quad \big[\#(g\overline{w} \cap \mathcal{Z}(\zeta r - \eta, \infty, \mathcal{A})) \geqslant 1\big]. \tag{5.11}$$

Proof. Let $s_0 = 2\delta(\overline{w})^{-1} \operatorname{diam}\mathcal{A}$. Note that $d(\boldsymbol{x}_1 + \mathrm{j}\,\mathrm{e}^{s_0}, \boldsymbol{x}_2 + \mathrm{j}\,\mathrm{e}^{s_0}) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\delta(\overline{w})$ for all $\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2 \in \mathcal{A}$. By the triangle inequality, if $z_1, z_2 \in g\overline{w} \cap \mathcal{Z}(s_0, \infty, \mathcal{A})$, then $|\operatorname{Im} z_1 - \operatorname{Im} z_2| \geqslant \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{2}\delta(\overline{w})}$. This proves for all $g \in G$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\big[\#(g\overline{w} \cap \mathcal{Z}(s_0,\infty,\mathcal{A})) \geqslant r\big] \quad \Rightarrow \quad \big[\#(g\overline{w} \cap \mathcal{Z}(s_0 + \tfrac{1}{2}\delta(\overline{w})(r-1), \infty, \mathcal{A})) \geqslant 1\big]. \tag{5.12}$$

If $r_0 := \sup_{g\in G} \#(g\overline{w} \cap \mathcal{Z}(a, s_0, \mathcal{A}))$, we obtain for all $g \in G$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\big[\#(g\overline{w} \cap \mathcal{Z}(a,\infty,\mathcal{A})) \geqslant r + r_0\big] \quad \Rightarrow \quad \big[\#(g\overline{w} \cap \mathcal{Z}(s_0 + \tfrac{1}{2}\delta(\overline{w})(r-1), \infty, \mathcal{A})) \geqslant 1\big], \tag{5.13}$$

which implies (5.11) with $\zeta = \frac{1}{2}\delta(\overline{w})$ and $\eta = \max(\zeta(r_0 + 1) - s_0, \zeta r_0 - a)$. □

Lemma 11. *Fix a bounded subset $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and ζ, η as in Lemma 10. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{G/\Gamma} \#(g\overline{w} \cap \mathcal{Z}(\zeta r - \eta, \infty, \mathcal{A}))\, d\mu(g) &= \frac{\operatorname{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n} \mathcal{Z}(\zeta r - \eta, \infty, \mathcal{A})}{\#\Gamma_w \operatorname{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}^n)} \\ &= \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-(n-1)(\zeta r - \eta)}}{(n-1)\#\Gamma_w \operatorname{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}^n)}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

Proof. This follows from (4.20). □

Lemma 12. *Fix a bounded subset $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and ζ, η as in Lemma 10. Let λ be a probability measure on $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$ with bounded density. Then there exists a constant C such that for all $r \geqslant 0$*

$$\sup_{t\geqslant 0} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}} \#(\Phi^t n(\boldsymbol{x})\overline{w} \cap \mathcal{Z}(\zeta r - \eta, \infty, \mathcal{A}))\, d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) \leqslant C\mathrm{e}^{-(n-1)\zeta r}. \tag{5.15}$$

Proof. By increasing C, we may assume without loss of generality that $\lambda = \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}} &\#(\Phi^t n(\boldsymbol{x})\overline{w} \cap \mathcal{Z}(\zeta r - \eta, \infty, \mathcal{A}))\, d\boldsymbol{x} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}} \#(n(\boldsymbol{x})\overline{w} \cap \mathcal{Z}(\zeta r - \eta - t, \infty, \mathrm{e}^{-t}\mathcal{A}))\, d\boldsymbol{x} \\ &= \mathrm{vol}_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}(\mathrm{e}^{-t}\mathcal{A})\, \#\{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty\backslash\Gamma/\Gamma_w,\ \mathrm{Im}(\gamma w) \geqslant \mathrm{e}^{-t+\zeta r-\eta}\}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

By the asymptotics (4.4), we find a constant C' such that

$$\#\{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty\backslash\Gamma/\Gamma_w,\ \mathrm{Im}(\gamma w) \geqslant \mathrm{e}^{-t+\zeta r-\eta}\} \leqslant C' \max(1, \mathrm{e}^{(n-1)(t-\zeta r)}). \tag{5.17}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 8. As remarked above, in the case $s < \infty$ the number $\mathcal{N}_{t,s}^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_j, \boldsymbol{x}; \overline{w})$ has a uniform upper bound, and $E_s(r, \mathcal{A}; \overline{w}) = 0$ for $|r| := \max_j r_j$ sufficiently large. The statement therefore follows directly from the convergence in distribution, Theorem 4. Assume now $s = \infty$.

For $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} = \cup_j \mathcal{A}_j$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{|r|\geqslant R} E_s(r, \mathcal{A}; \overline{w}) &\leqslant \sum_{r'=R}^{\infty} E_s(r', \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}; \overline{w}) \\ &= \mu(\{g \in G/\Gamma : \#(g\overline{w} \cap \mathcal{Z}(0, \infty, \widetilde{\mathcal{A}})) \geqslant R\}) \\ &\leqslant \mu(\{g \in G/\Gamma : \#(g\overline{w} \cap \mathcal{Z}(\zeta R - \eta, \infty, \widetilde{\mathcal{A}})) \geqslant 1\}) \\ &\leqslant \int_{G/\Gamma} \#(g\overline{w} \cap \mathcal{Z}(\zeta R - \eta, \infty, \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}))\, d\mu(g).\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

by Lemma 10 and Chebyshev's inequality. Using Lemma 11 on the last expression yields

$$\sum_{|r|\geqslant R} E_s(r, \mathcal{A}; \overline{w}) \leqslant C_1 \mathrm{e}^{-(n-1)\zeta R}. \tag{5.19}$$

for an explicit constant C_1. This proves part (i).

By Theorem 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{t\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \mathbb{1}\big(\mathcal{N}_{t,s}^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_j, \boldsymbol{x}; \overline{w}) < R\big) \exp\big(\tau_j \mathcal{N}_{t,s}^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_j, \boldsymbol{x}; \overline{w})\big) d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ = \sum_{r_1,\dots,r_m=0}^{R-1} \exp\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \tau_j r_j\Big) E_s(r, \mathcal{A}; \overline{w}).\end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

To establish part (ii), what therefore remains to be shown is

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} \limsup_{t\to\infty} \Big| \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \mathbb{1}\big(\max_j \mathcal{N}_{t,s}^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_j, \boldsymbol{x}; \overline{w}) \geqslant R\big) \exp\big(\tau_j \mathcal{N}_{t,s}^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_j, \boldsymbol{x}; \overline{w})\big) d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) \Big| = 0. \tag{5.21}$$

Now,

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}}\prod_{j=1}^{m}\mathbb{1}\big(\max_j \mathcal{N}_{t,s}^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_j,\boldsymbol{x};\overline{w})\geqslant R\big)\exp\big(\tau_j\mathcal{N}_{t,s}^{\infty}(\mathcal{A}_j,\boldsymbol{x};\overline{w})\big)d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x})\right| \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}}\mathbb{1}\big(\mathcal{N}_{t,s}^{\infty}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\boldsymbol{x};\overline{w})\geqslant R\big)\exp\big(\widetilde{\tau}\mathcal{N}_{t,s}^{\infty}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\boldsymbol{x};\overline{w})\big)d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) \tag{5.22}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}=\cup_j\mathcal{A}_j$ and $\widetilde{\tau}=\sum_j \operatorname{Re}_+\tau_j$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}}\mathbb{1}\big(\mathcal{N}_{t,s}^{\infty}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\boldsymbol{x};\overline{w})\geqslant R\big)\exp\big(\widetilde{\tau}\mathcal{N}_{t,s}^{\infty}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\boldsymbol{x};\overline{w})\big)d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x})\\ &\quad=\sum_{r=R}^{\infty}\mathrm{e}^{\widetilde{\tau}r}\int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}}\mathbb{1}\big(\mathcal{N}_{t,s}^{\infty}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\boldsymbol{x};\overline{w})=r\big)d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x})\\ &\quad\leqslant\sum_{r=R}^{\infty}\mathrm{e}^{\widetilde{\tau}r}\int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}}\mathbb{1}\big(\mathcal{N}_{t,s}^{\infty}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\boldsymbol{x};\overline{w})\geqslant r\big)d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}).\end{aligned} \tag{5.23}$$

Using Lemma 10, the Chebyshev inequality and Lemma 12, we see that the last integral is bounded by

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}}\mathbb{1}\big(\mathcal{N}_{t,s}^{\infty}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\boldsymbol{x};\overline{w})\geqslant r\big)d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x})\leqslant C\mathrm{e}^{-(n-1)\zeta r}, \tag{5.24}$$

uniformly in $t\geqslant 0$. This proves that, for $\widetilde{\tau}<(n-1)\zeta$,

$$\lim_{R\to 0}\sum_{r=R}^{\infty}\mathrm{e}^{\widetilde{\tau}r}\int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}}\mathbb{1}\big(\mathcal{N}_{t,s}^{\infty}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\boldsymbol{x};\overline{w})\geqslant r\big)d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x})=0 \tag{5.25}$$

uniformly in t, which yields (5.20). □

6. Equidistribution of large spheres

We now consider the spherical analogue of horospherical averages by replacing $n(\boldsymbol{x})$ with suitable rotation matrices $R(\boldsymbol{x})\in K:=\mathrm{PSU}(C_{n-2})$, where $\boldsymbol{x}$ ranges over some open subset of $\mathcal{U}\subset\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. In geometric terms, it is natural to identify $\mathcal{U}$ either with (a subset of) the unit sphere centered at j via the map $\boldsymbol{x}\mapsto R(\boldsymbol{x})^{-1}\mathrm{e}^{-1}\,\mathrm{j}$ (where $\mathrm{e}^{-1}\,\mathrm{j}$ is the "south pole" of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$), or with (a subset of) $\partial\mathbb{H}^n$ via $\boldsymbol{x}\mapsto R(\boldsymbol{x})^{-1}0$. The sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and the boundary $\partial\mathbb{H}^n$ are diffeomorphic, so that smoothness assumptions are mutually equivalent.

One important example for $R(\boldsymbol{x})$ is the matrix

$$E(\boldsymbol{x}):=\exp\begin{pmatrix}0 & \boldsymbol{x}\\ -\boldsymbol{x}' & 0\end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.1}$$

Note that, we have for $\boldsymbol{x} \neq 0$ and $\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}} := |\boldsymbol{x}|^{-1}\boldsymbol{x}$,

$$\begin{aligned} E(\boldsymbol{x}) &= \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\boldsymbol{x}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} E(|\boldsymbol{x}|) \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\boldsymbol{x}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\boldsymbol{x}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos|\boldsymbol{x}| & \sin|\boldsymbol{x}| \\ -\sin|\boldsymbol{x}| & \cos|\boldsymbol{x}| \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\boldsymbol{x}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos|\boldsymbol{x}| & \widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}\sin|\boldsymbol{x}| \\ -\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}'\sin|\boldsymbol{x}| & \cos|\boldsymbol{x}| \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

which shows that $E(\boldsymbol{x}) \in \mathrm{SU}(2, C_{n-2})$ (cf. (2.5)). Now

$$E^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos|\boldsymbol{x}| & -\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}\sin|\boldsymbol{x}| \\ \widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}'\sin|\boldsymbol{x}| & \cos|\boldsymbol{x}| \end{pmatrix} \tag{6.3}$$

and hence $E^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x})0 = -\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}\tan|\boldsymbol{x}|$. The map $\boldsymbol{x} \mapsto E(\boldsymbol{x})^{-1}0$ has thus nonsingular differential when $|\boldsymbol{x}| < \pi/2$ (it is of course smooth everywhere in dimension $n = 2$).

Theorem 13. *Let $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ be a nonempty open subset and let $R : \mathcal{U} \to K$ be a smooth map such that the map $\mathcal{U} \to \partial\mathbb{H}^n$, $\boldsymbol{x} \mapsto R^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x})0$, has nonsingular differential at Lebesgue-almost all $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{U}$. Let λ be a Borel probability measure on $\mathcal{U}$, absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Then, for any bounded continuous function $f : \mathcal{U} \times G/\Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$ and any family of uniformly bounded continuous functions $f_t : \mathcal{U} \times G/\Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_t \to f$ as $t \to \infty$, uniformly on compacta, and for every $g \in G$, we have*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \int_{\mathcal{U}} f_t\left(\boldsymbol{x}, \Phi^t R(\boldsymbol{x}) g\right) d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_{\mathcal{U}\times G/\Gamma} f(\boldsymbol{x}, h)\, d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x})\, d\mu(h). \tag{6.4}$$

Proof. We proceed as in the proof of Corollary 5.4 in [10]. Let $\boldsymbol{x}_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ be a point where the map $\boldsymbol{x} \mapsto R^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x})0$ has nonsingular differential. First we show that there exists an open set $\mathcal{U}_0 \subset \mathcal{U}$ containing $\boldsymbol{x}_0$ such that (6.4) holds with $\mathcal{U}$ replaced by $\mathcal{U}_0$ or any Borel subset thereof. Let

$$R(\boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{x}) & \boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ -\boldsymbol{b}'(\boldsymbol{x}) & \boldsymbol{a}'(\boldsymbol{x}) \end{pmatrix} \in K. \tag{6.5}$$

Case 1. Suppose first that $\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{x}_0) \neq 0$. Then $R(\boldsymbol{x})^{-1}0 = -\boldsymbol{a}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{x}) \in V_{n-2}$, and

$$R(\boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{a} & 0 \\ -\boldsymbol{b}' & \boldsymbol{b}'\boldsymbol{a}^{-1}\boldsymbol{b} + \boldsymbol{a}' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \boldsymbol{a}^{-1}\boldsymbol{b} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.6}$$

By assumption, the map $\boldsymbol{x} \mapsto \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{x}) := \boldsymbol{a}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{x})$ has nonsingular differential at $\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{x}_0$, so there exists an open set $\mathcal{V}$ containing $\boldsymbol{x}_0$ with $\overline{\mathcal{V}} \subset \mathcal{U}$ such the map $\boldsymbol{x} \mapsto \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}$ is a diffeomorphism on $\mathcal{V}$. We call its image $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$. Thus,

$$\Phi^t R(\boldsymbol{x}) = \Phi^t \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{a} & 0 \\ -\boldsymbol{b}' & \boldsymbol{b}'\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} + \boldsymbol{a}' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{6.7}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{a} & 0 \\ -\boldsymbol{b}'\mathrm{e}^{-t} & \boldsymbol{b}'\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} + \boldsymbol{a}' \end{pmatrix} \Phi^t \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.8}$$

Fix $\mathcal{U}_0$ to be an open neighborhood of $\boldsymbol{x}_0$ such that $\overline{\mathcal{U}_0} \subset \mathcal{V}$, and let B be a Borel subset of $\mathcal{U}_0$. Denote by $\tilde{B}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_0$ the images of B and $\mathcal{U}_0$ under $\boldsymbol{x} \mapsto \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}$. We have $\tilde{B} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_0 \subset \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$. Let us assume $\lambda(B) > 0$, and let $\tilde{\lambda}$ be the measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ which is the pushforward of $\frac{1}{\lambda(B)}\lambda|_B$

under the map $\boldsymbol{x} \mapsto \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}$. Then $\tilde{\lambda}$ is a Borel probability measure with compact support and is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Finally, let u be a continuous function satisfying $\chi_{\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_0} \leqslant u \leqslant \chi_{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}}$.

With f and f_t as in the statement, define continuous functions $\tilde{f}_t, \tilde{f} : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times G/\Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\tilde{f}_t(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}, h) = u(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}) f_t\left(\boldsymbol{x}, \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{a} & 0 \\ -\boldsymbol{b}'\mathrm{e}^{-t} & \boldsymbol{b}'\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} + \boldsymbol{a}' \end{pmatrix} h\right) \qquad \text{if } \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \tag{6.9}$$

$$\tilde{f}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}, h) = u(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}) f\left(\boldsymbol{x}, \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{a} & 0 \\ 0 & \boldsymbol{b}'\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} + \boldsymbol{a}' \end{pmatrix} h\right) \qquad \text{if } \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \tag{6.10}$$

$$\tilde{f}_t(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}, h) = \tilde{f}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}, h) = 0 \qquad \text{if } \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} \notin \tilde{\mathcal{V}}. \tag{6.11}$$

We of course have that $\tilde{f}_t(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}, h) \to \tilde{f}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}, h)$ as $t \to \infty$ uniformly on compact sets. Now we invoke Theorem 2 for $\tilde{\lambda}$, $\tilde{f}_t$, and $\tilde{f}$ to get

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \tilde{f}_t(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}, \Phi^t n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}) g) d\tilde{\lambda}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times G/\Gamma} \tilde{f}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}, h) d\mu(h) d\tilde{\lambda}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}). \tag{6.12}$$

Unwrapping the definition of $\tilde{\lambda}$ and using left invariance of μ we confirm that (6.4) holds when $\mathcal{U}$ is replaced by any Borel subset B of $\mathcal{U}_0$, provided $\lambda(B) > 0$ (otherwise the claim is trivially true).

Case 2. Suppose now $\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{x}_0) = 0$. Note that in the definition of R in (6.5), if $\boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{x}_0) = 0$, then $\boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{x}_0) \neq 0$ and is hence invertible. With this in mind we write

$$R(\boldsymbol{x}) = R_0(\boldsymbol{x}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad R_0(\boldsymbol{x}) := \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{a}_0(\boldsymbol{x}) & \boldsymbol{b}_0(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ -\boldsymbol{b}_0'(\boldsymbol{x}) & \boldsymbol{a}_0'(\boldsymbol{x}) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.13}$$

Then, $\boldsymbol{a} = -\boldsymbol{b}_0$ and $\boldsymbol{b} = \boldsymbol{a}_0 \neq 0$, and the map $\boldsymbol{x} \mapsto R_0^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x})0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} R^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x})0$ has nonsingular differential at $\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{x}_0$. We conclude the argument as in Case 1 with g replaced by $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} g$.

The proof is now completed by a simple covering argument; see the end of the proof of Corollary 5.4 in [10] for details. □

As in the case for horospherical averages, we can extend Theorem 13 to sequences of characteristic functions.

Corollary 14. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 13, for any family of subsets $\mathcal{E}_t \subset \mathcal{U} \times G/\Gamma$ and any $g \in G/\Gamma$, we have*

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \chi_{\mathcal{E}_t}(\boldsymbol{x}, \Phi^t R(\boldsymbol{x}) g)\, d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) \geqslant \int_{\lim(\inf \mathcal{E}_t)^\circ} d\lambda\, d\mu, \tag{6.14}$$

and

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \chi_{\mathcal{E}_t}(\boldsymbol{x}, \Phi^t R(\boldsymbol{x}) g)\, d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) \leqslant \int_{\lim \overline{\sup \mathcal{E}_t}} d\lambda\, d\mu. \tag{6.15}$$

If furthermore $\lambda \times \mu$ gives zero measure to the set $\lim \overline{\sup \mathcal{E}_t} \setminus \lim(\inf \mathcal{E}_t)^\circ$, then

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \chi_{\mathcal{E}_t}(\boldsymbol{x}, \Phi^t R(\boldsymbol{x}) g)\, d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_{\limsup \mathcal{E}_t} d\lambda\, d\mu. \tag{6.16}$$

7. Projection statistics for non-cuspidal observer

Let us now return to the study of the fine-scale statistics of the multiset of directions of lattice points $\mathcal{P}_{t,s}(g\overline{w})$ as seen from an observer at the origin $z = \mathrm{j}$. Measuring correlations on the sphere of directions is a little more awkward than on a torus/horosphere, since rotations generally do not commute. We use the matrix $E(\boldsymbol{x})$ to obtain a coordinate chart of a small neighborhood of the south pole of the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ via the map $\boldsymbol{x} \to E(\boldsymbol{x})^{-1}\mathrm{e}^{-1}\,\mathrm{j}$. We then define a shrinking test set in that neighborhood by

$$\mathcal{B}_{t,s}(\mathcal{A}, 0) := \{E(\boldsymbol{x})^{-1}\mathrm{e}^{-1}\,\mathrm{j} : \boldsymbol{x} \in \rho_{t,s}\mathcal{A}\} \tag{7.1}$$

where $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is a fixed bounded set and the scaling factor $\rho_{t,s} > 0$ is chosen so that

$$\omega(\mathcal{B}_{t,s}(\mathcal{A}, 0)) = \frac{\Omega_n \operatorname{vol}_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \mathcal{A}}{\#\mathcal{P}_{t,s}(g\overline{w})}. \tag{7.2}$$

(We will see below that $\rho_{t,s} \sim \vartheta^{-1/(n-1)}\,\mathrm{e}^{-t}$ for t large.) To rotate this set randomly, we use the map $\boldsymbol{x} \mapsto R(\boldsymbol{x})$ of a open subset $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ defined in the previous section, and set

$$\mathcal{B}_{t,s}(\mathcal{A}, \boldsymbol{x}) := R(\boldsymbol{x})^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{t,s}(\mathcal{A}, 0). \tag{7.3}$$

A key observation will be that the limit distribution of the random variable

$$\mathcal{N}_{t,s}(\mathcal{A}, \boldsymbol{x}; g\overline{w}) := \#(\mathcal{P}_{t,s}(g\overline{w}) \cap \mathcal{B}_{t,s}(\mathcal{A}, \boldsymbol{x})) \tag{7.4}$$

will be independent of the choice of R. As before, the scaling of the test set ensures that, for any probability measure λ with continuous density,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{N}_{t,s}(\mathcal{A}, \boldsymbol{x}; g\overline{w})\, d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) = \operatorname{vol}_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \mathcal{A}. \tag{7.5}$$

This formula also follows from the convergence of moments (see Section 8) and the explicit formula (5.7) for the first moment of the limit distribution, under the weaker assumption that λ has bounded density.

As in the case of a cuspidal observer, we consider the joint distribution with respect to several test sets $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_m$:

Theorem 15. *Let $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ be a nonempty open subset and let $R : \mathcal{U} \to K$ be a smooth map such that the map $\mathcal{U} \to \partial\mathbb{H}^n$, $\boldsymbol{x} \mapsto R^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x})0$, has nonsingular differential at Lebesgue-almost all $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{U}$. Let λ be a Borel probability measure on $\mathcal{U}$ absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Then, for every $g \in G$, $s \in (0, \infty]$, $r = (r_1, \dots, r_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m_{\geqslant 0}$ and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{A}_m$ with $\mathcal{A}_j \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ bounded with boundary of Lebesgue measure zero,*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \lambda(\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{U} : \mathcal{N}_{t,s}(\mathcal{A}_j, \boldsymbol{x}; g\overline{w}) = r_j\ \forall j\}) = E_s(r, \mathcal{A}; \overline{w}) \tag{7.6}$$

where the limit distribution $E_s(r, \mathcal{A}; \overline{w})$ is the same as in the case of a cuspidal observer in Theorem 4. In particular, the limit is independent of g, R, λ, and $\mathcal{U}$.

The proof of Theorem 15 is almost identical to that of Theorem 4, with horospherical averages replaced by spherical averages (Corollary 14), and Lemma 6 replaced by the following.

Lemma 16. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 15, given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $t_0 < \infty$ and bounded subsets $\mathcal{A}_j^- \subset \mathcal{A}_j^+ \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ with boundary of measure zero, such that*

$$\operatorname{vol}_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}(\mathcal{A}_j^+ \setminus \mathcal{A}_j^-) < \varepsilon \tag{7.7}$$

and, for all $t \geqslant t_0$,

$$\#(\Phi^t R(\boldsymbol{x}) g\overline{w} \cap \mathcal{Z}(\varepsilon, s^-, \mathcal{A}_j^-)) \leqslant \mathcal{N}_{t,s}(\mathcal{A}_j, \boldsymbol{x}; g\overline{w}) \leqslant \#(\Phi^t R(\boldsymbol{x}) g\overline{w} \cap \mathcal{Z}(-\varepsilon, s+\varepsilon, \mathcal{A}_j^+)) \tag{7.8}$$

with

$$s^- = \begin{cases} s - \varepsilon & (s < \infty) \\ \varepsilon^{-1} & (s = \infty). \end{cases} \tag{7.9}$$

Proof. For $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $-\infty \leqslant a < b < \infty$ define the cone

$$\mathcal{C}(a, b, \mathcal{B}) := \{z \in \mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{\mathrm{j}\} : \varphi(z) \in \mathcal{B},\ a < d(z) \leqslant b\}. \tag{7.10}$$

Its volume satisfies

$$\operatorname{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n} \mathcal{C}(a, b, \mathcal{B}) = \frac{\omega(\mathcal{B})}{\Omega_n}\ \operatorname{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n} \mathcal{C}(a, b, \mathbb{S}^{n-1}), \tag{7.11}$$

where $\mathcal{C}(a, b, \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ is the spherical shell with inner resp. outer radius a and b. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{t,s}(\mathcal{A}_j, \boldsymbol{x}; g\overline{w}) &= \#(g\overline{w} \cap \mathcal{C}(t-s, t, \mathcal{B}_{t,s}(\mathcal{A}_j, \boldsymbol{x}))) \\ &= \#(\Phi^t R(\boldsymbol{x}) g\overline{w} \cap \Phi^t \mathcal{C}(t-s, t, \mathcal{B}_{t,s}(\mathcal{A}_j, 0))), \end{aligned} \tag{7.12}$$

where

$$\Phi^t \mathcal{C}(t-s, t, \mathcal{B}_{t,s}(\mathcal{A}_j, 0)) = \bigcup_{0 \leqslant r < \min\{t,s\}} \{\Phi^t E(\boldsymbol{x})^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{r-t}\, \mathrm{j} : \boldsymbol{x} \in \rho_{t,s} \mathcal{A}_j\}. \tag{7.13}$$

Note that $\rho_{t,s}$ may depend on $\mathcal{A}_j$. The volume of this cone is in view of (7.11), for t large,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n} \mathcal{C}(t-s, t, \mathcal{B}_{t,s}(\mathcal{A}_j, 0)) &\sim \mathrm{e}^{(n-1)t}\, \frac{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-(n-1)s}}{n-1}\, \omega(\mathcal{B}_{t,s}(\mathcal{A}_j, 0)) \\ &= \mathrm{e}^{(n-1)t}\, \frac{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-(n-1)s}}{n-1}\, \frac{\Omega_n \operatorname{vol}_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \mathcal{A}}{\#\mathcal{P}_{t,s}(g\overline{w})} \\ &\sim \#\Gamma_w \operatorname{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}^n)\ \operatorname{vol}_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \mathcal{A}, \end{aligned} \tag{7.14}$$

the same volume as the cuspidal cone $\mathcal{Z}(s, \mathcal{A})$, (4.41). We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^t E(\boldsymbol{x})^{-1} &= \Phi^t \left(1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\boldsymbol{x} \\ \boldsymbol{x}' & 0 \end{pmatrix} + O(\rho_{t,s}^2)\right) \\ &= \left(1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathrm{e}^t \boldsymbol{x} \\ \mathrm{e}^{-t} \boldsymbol{x}' & 0 \end{pmatrix} + O(\mathrm{e}^t \rho_{t,s}^2)\right) \Phi^t \\ &= \left(n(-\mathrm{e}^t \boldsymbol{x}) + O(\mathrm{e}^{-t} \rho_{t,s}) + O(\mathrm{e}^t \rho_{t,s}^2)\right) \Phi^t \end{aligned} \tag{7.15}$$

and so

$$\Phi^t E(\boldsymbol{x})^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{r-t}\, \mathrm{j} = -\mathrm{e}^t \boldsymbol{x} + \mathrm{e}^r\, \mathrm{j} + \text{lower order terms}. \tag{7.16}$$

This shows that, for every fixed r, the set

$$\{\Phi^t E(\boldsymbol{x})^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{r-t}\, \mathrm{j} : \boldsymbol{x} \in \rho_{t,s} \mathcal{A}_j\} \tag{7.17}$$

is close to

$$\{-\boldsymbol{x} + \mathrm{e}^r\, \mathrm{j} : \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathrm{e}^t \rho_{t,s} \mathcal{A}_j\}. \tag{7.18}$$

We conclude that, for t large, $\Phi^t\mathcal{C}(t-s,t,\mathcal{B}_{t,s}(\mathcal{A}_j,0))$ approximates $\mathcal{Z}(s,\vartheta^{1/(n-1)}\mathrm{e}^t\rho_{t,s}\mathcal{A}_j))$. Comparing the volumes of the two yields $\rho_{t,s}\sim\vartheta^{-1/(n-1)}\,\mathrm{e}^{-t}$. □

Theorem 1 is now a corollary of Theorem 15.

Proof of Theorem 1. In Theorem 15, choose $m=1$ and $\mathcal{A}\subset\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ a Euclidean open ball of volume σ. Then

$$\mathcal{B}_{t,s}(\mathcal{A},0)=\{E(\boldsymbol{x})^{-1}\mathrm{e}^{-1}\,\mathrm{j}:\boldsymbol{x}\in\rho_{t,s}\mathcal{A}\}=\mathcal{D}_{t,s}(\sigma,\mathrm{e}^{-1}\,\mathrm{j}), \tag{7.19}$$

which is the spherical disc with the required volume (1.8). Define coordinate charts

$$\mathcal{U}\to\mathbb{S}^{n-1},\qquad \boldsymbol{x}\mapsto\boldsymbol{v}=R(\boldsymbol{x})^{-1}\mathrm{e}^{-1}\,\mathrm{j}, \tag{7.20}$$

for suitable $\mathcal{U}$ and $R(\boldsymbol{x})$ with non-singular differential. (Take for instance $R(\boldsymbol{x})=R_0E(\boldsymbol{x})$ which will parametrize a neighborhood of any point $\boldsymbol{v}_0=R_0^{-1}\mathrm{e}^{-1}\,\mathrm{j}\in\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.) This implies that $\mathcal{U}\to\partial\mathbb{H}^n$, $\boldsymbol{x}\mapsto R^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x})0$ has non-singular differential, and we can apply Theorem 15 to prove (1.12), with λ in (1.12) restricted to each coordinate chart. The cuspidal cone in Theorem 1 is defined by

$$\mathcal{Z}_0(s,\sigma):=\mathcal{Z}(s,\mathcal{A}), \tag{7.21}$$

with $\mathcal{A}$ a ball of volume σ as above. For $\sigma=0$ or $s=0$, we define $\mathcal{Z}_0(s,\sigma)$ as the empty set. The continuity in s and σ, as well as (1.14), follow from the continuity stated in (4.12). □

8. Convergence of moments for non-cuspidal observer

In analogy with Section 5, we define the moment generating function for a non-cuspidal observer by

$$\mathbb{G}_{t,s}(\tau_1,\dots,\tau_m;\mathcal{A}):=\int_{\mathcal{U}}\exp\Big(\sum_{j=1}^m\tau_j\mathcal{N}_{t,s}(\mathcal{A}_j,\boldsymbol{x};g\overline{w})\Big)d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}), \tag{8.1}$$

where $\mathcal{U}$, λ are as in the previous section.

Theorem 17. *Let λ be a probability measure on $\mathcal{U}$ with bounded density. Then there is a constant $c_0>0$ such that for $\mathrm{Re}_+\,\tau_1+\ldots+\mathrm{Re}_+\,\tau_m<c_0$, $s\in(0,\infty]$,*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\mathbb{G}_{t,s}(\tau_1,\dots,\tau_m;\mathcal{A})=\mathbb{G}_s(\tau_1,\dots,\tau_m;\mathcal{A}), \tag{8.2}$$

with $\mathbb{G}_s(\tau_1,\dots,\tau_m;\mathcal{A})$ as defined in (5.2).

Theorem 17 implies convergence of the mixed moments

$$\mathbb{M}_{t,s}(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_m;\mathcal{A}):=\int_{\mathcal{U}}\prod_{j=1}^m(\mathcal{N}_{t,s}(\mathcal{A}_j,\boldsymbol{x};g\overline{w}))^{\beta_j}\,d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) \tag{8.3}$$

for all $\beta_j\in\mathbb{R}_{\geqslant0}$.

Corollary 18. *Let λ be a probability measure on $\mathcal{U}$ with bounded density. Then, for all $\beta_1,\dots,\beta_m\in\mathbb{R}_{\geqslant0}$, $s\in(0,\infty]$,*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\mathbb{M}_{t,s}(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_m;\mathcal{A})=\mathbb{M}_s(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_m;\mathcal{A}) \tag{8.4}$$

with $\mathbb{M}_s(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_m;\mathcal{A})$ as defined in (5.4).

The proof of Theorem 17 is completely analogous to that of Theorem 8. It is again based on Lemma 11 and the following two lemmas, which substitute Lemmas 10 and 12, respectively.

Lemma 19. *Fix $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and a bounded subset $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. The there exists positive constants ζ, η, t_0 so that for all $g \in G$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \geqslant t_0$*

$$\big[\#(g\overline{w} \cap \mathcal{C}(0, t, \mathcal{B}_{t,\infty}(\mathcal{A}, 0))) \geqslant r\big] \quad \Rightarrow \quad \big[\#(g\overline{w} \cap \mathcal{C}(0, t - \zeta r + \eta, \mathcal{B}_{t,\infty}(\mathcal{A}, 0))) \geqslant 1\big]. \tag{8.5}$$

Proof. This is analogous to the proof of Lemma 10. □

Lemma 20. *Fix a bounded subset $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and ζ, η as in Lemma 10. Let λ be a probability measure on $\mathcal{U}$ with bounded density. Then there exists a constant C such that for all $r \geqslant 0$*

$$\sup_{t \geqslant 0} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \#(\Phi^t R(\boldsymbol{x}) g\overline{w} \cap \mathcal{C}(0, t - \zeta r + \eta, \mathcal{B}_{t,\infty}(\mathcal{A}, 0)))\, d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) \leqslant C \mathrm{e}^{-(n-1)\zeta r}. \tag{8.6}$$

Proof. To obtain an upper bound, we may replace $\mathcal{B}_{t,\infty}(\mathcal{A}, 0)$ by a sufficiently large ball $\mathcal{D}_t \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ so that $\mathcal{B}_{t,\infty}(\mathcal{A}, 0) \subset \mathcal{D}_t$ and $\omega(\mathcal{D}_t) = \sigma_0 \mathrm{e}^{-(n-1)t}$ for all $t \geqslant 0$ and some constant σ_0. Since λ has bounded density and $\mathcal{D}_t$ is rotation invariant, there is a constant C_2 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \#(\Phi^t R(\boldsymbol{x}) g\overline{w} \cap \mathcal{C}(0, t - \zeta r + \eta, \mathcal{B}_{t,\infty}(\mathcal{A}, 0)))\, d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ \leqslant C_2 \int_K \#(\Phi^t k g\overline{w} \cap \mathcal{C}(0, t - \zeta r + \eta, \mathcal{D}_t))\, dm(k), \end{aligned} \tag{8.7}$$

where m is the Haar probability measure on K. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_K \#(\Phi^t k g\overline{w} \cap \mathcal{C}(0, t - \zeta r + \eta, \mathcal{D}_t))\, dm(k) \\ = \sigma_0 \mathrm{e}^{-(n-1)t}\, \#\{\gamma \in \Gamma/\Gamma_w,\ 0 < d(\gamma g w) \leqslant \mathrm{e}^{t - \zeta r + \eta}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.8}$$

Finally, in view of the asymptotics (1.6), there is a constant C_3 such that, for all $t \geqslant 0$,

$$\#\{\gamma \in \Gamma/\Gamma_w,\ d(\gamma g w) \leqslant \mathrm{e}^{t - \zeta r + \eta}\} \leqslant C_3 \max(1, \mathrm{e}^{(n-1)(t - \zeta r)}). \tag{8.9}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Appendix A. Two-point correlation functions

This appendix derives the explicit formula for the 2-point function in dimension $n = 2$ and $s = \infty$, which was first calculated in the work of Boca et al. [3] and Kelmer and Kontorovich [8]. As explained in Remark 5.1, the convergence of the 2-point function follows from the convergence of the second mixed moment by a standard argument (cf. [4, Appendix 1]), and the limit 2-point function $R_2(\xi)$ (defined as in [8, Eq. (1.7)]) is related to the second mixed moment by

$$R_2(\xi) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} \big[\mathbb{M}_\infty\big(1, 1; (-\xi, \xi) \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\big) - \mathbb{M}_\infty\big(1; (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\big)\big] \tag{A.1}$$

The term $\mathbb{M}_\infty\big(1; (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\big)$ removes the diagonal contribution $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 \in \Gamma/\Gamma_w$ in the sum defining the second moment. We divide by 4ε rather than 2ε in (A.1) to count ordered pairs (γ_1, γ_2) and (γ_2, γ_1) in (5.5) only once; this is consistent with the definition of $\mathcal{N}_Q(\xi)$ in [8]. (Note however that unlike [3, 8] we take $\gamma \in \Gamma/\Gamma_w$ in order to count each point in the orbit $\overline{w} = \Gamma w$ only once.) In view of (5.6),

$$\mathbb{M}_\infty\big(1, 1; (-\xi, \xi) \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\big) - \mathbb{M}_\infty\big(1; (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\big) = \frac{1}{\#\Gamma_w} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma/\Gamma_w \\ \gamma \neq \Gamma_w}} F_{\gamma,\varepsilon}(\vartheta^{-1}\xi) \tag{A.2}$$

with

$$F_{\gamma,\varepsilon}(\alpha) := \int_G \mathbb{1}\big(g\gamma w \in \mathcal{Z}(\infty,(-\vartheta\alpha,\vartheta\alpha))\big)\mathbb{1}\big(gw \in \mathcal{Z}(\infty,(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon))\big)\,d\mu(g). \tag{A.3}$$

Note that for $n=2$ we have $\vartheta^{-1} = \#\Gamma_w \operatorname{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^2}(\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}^2)$. There is $h\in G$ such that $hw = \mathrm{i}$ and $h\gamma w = \mathrm{e}^{\ell}\mathrm{i}$ where $\ell := d(w,\gamma w)$. Hence

$$F_{\gamma,\varepsilon}(\alpha) = \int_G \mathbb{1}\big(g\mathrm{e}^{\ell}\mathrm{i} \in \mathcal{Z}(\infty,(-\vartheta\alpha,\vartheta\alpha))\big)\mathbb{1}\big(g\mathrm{i} \in \mathcal{Z}(\infty,(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon))\big)\,d\mu(g). \tag{A.4}$$

In dimension $n=2$, the Iwasawa decomposition (2.13) for $G=\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ reads

$$g = n(x)a(y)k(\theta), \qquad k(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix}\cos\theta & -\sin\theta\\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta\end{pmatrix}, \tag{A.5}$$

where $0\leqslant\theta<\pi$, and Haar measure (2.15)

$$d\mu(g) = \varkappa\,\frac{dx\,dy\,d\theta}{\pi y^2}, \tag{A.6}$$

where $\varkappa = \operatorname{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^2}(\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}^2)^{-1}$. With this,

$$F_\gamma(\alpha) := \lim_{\varepsilon\to0}\frac{1}{4\varepsilon}F_{\gamma,\varepsilon}(\alpha) = \frac{\varkappa}{2\pi\vartheta}\int_0^\pi\int_1^\infty \mathbb{1}\big(a(y)k(\theta)\mathrm{e}^{\ell}\mathrm{i} \in \mathcal{Z}(\infty,(-\vartheta\alpha,\vartheta\alpha))\big)\,\frac{dy}{y^2}\,d\theta, \tag{A.7}$$

where $\varkappa/\vartheta = \#\Gamma_w$. The indicator function restricts the domain of integration to

$$\frac{y}{\operatorname{ch}\ell - \operatorname{sh}\ell\cos2\theta} > 1, \qquad -\alpha < \frac{y\sin2\theta\operatorname{sh}\ell}{\operatorname{ch}\ell-\operatorname{sh}\ell\cos2\theta} < \alpha. \tag{A.8}$$

We exploit the symmetry of the domain of integration by noticing that $\theta<\pi/2$ if and only if $\frac{y\sin2\theta\operatorname{sh}\ell}{\operatorname{ch}\ell-\operatorname{sh}\ell\cos2\theta}>0$, which allows us to rewrite the integral as the sum of two equal integrals, that over $(0,\pi/2)$ and that over $(\pi/2,\pi)$. Therefore,

$$F_\gamma(\alpha) = \frac{\varkappa}{\pi\vartheta}\int_0^\pi\int_1^\infty \mathbb{1}\big(a(y)k(\theta)\mathrm{e}^{\ell}\mathrm{i} \in \mathcal{Z}(\infty,(0,\vartheta\alpha))\big)\,\frac{dy}{y^2}\,d\theta, \tag{A.9}$$

so that the range of integration becomes

$$\{y>1\}\cap\{y>\operatorname{ch}\ell-\operatorname{sh}\ell\cos2\theta>0\}\cap\{y\sin2\theta\operatorname{sh}\ell<(\operatorname{ch}\ell-\operatorname{sh}\ell\cos2\theta)\alpha\}\cap\{\theta<\pi/2\}. \tag{A.10}$$

We remark that every side of every inequality above is positive. We seek to compute the derivative with respect to α of

$$\int_{(\mathrm{A.10})}\frac{dy}{y^2}d\theta \tag{A.11}$$

for given α and ℓ.

The first two inequalities bound y from below, so we split the integral over θ into two parts, according to which condition dominates:

$$\text{(A.12)}\quad \text{(A.11)} = \int\limits_{\theta:1>\operatorname{ch}\ell-\operatorname{sh}\ell\cos 2\theta} \left[\int_{y=1}^{\frac{\alpha(\operatorname{ch}\ell-\operatorname{sh}\ell\cos 2\theta)}{\sin 2\theta\operatorname{sh}\ell}} \frac{dy}{y^2}\right]_+ d\theta + \int\limits_{\theta:1<\operatorname{ch}\ell-\operatorname{sh}\ell\cos 2\theta} \left[\int_{y=\operatorname{ch}\ell-\operatorname{sh}\ell\cos 2\theta}^{\frac{\alpha(\operatorname{ch}\ell-\operatorname{sh}\ell\cos 2\theta)}{\sin 2\theta\operatorname{sh}\ell}} \frac{dy}{y^2}\right]_+ d\theta.$$

Here $[\cdot]_+ = \max(0,\cdot)$, and serves the purpose of excluding regions where limits are reversed (upper limit is smaller than the lower limit).

The range of integration in θ is equivalent to $\cos 2\theta > \operatorname{th}\frac{\ell}{2}$ for the first term of (A.12), and the inequality is reversed in the second term. Since $\theta \in [0,\pi/2)$, there is a unique θ_0 so that the range of integration is from 0 to θ_0 for the first integral and θ_0 to $\pi/2$ for the second.

Consider the first term in (A.12). It evaluates to

$$\text{(A.13)}\qquad \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta_0} \left[1 - \frac{\sin 2\theta\operatorname{sh}\ell}{\alpha(\operatorname{ch}\ell-\operatorname{sh}\ell\cos 2\theta)}\right]_+ d\theta.$$

Observe that the antiderivative can be explicitly written as

$$\text{(A.14)}\qquad \int \left(1 - \frac{\sin 2\theta\operatorname{sh}\ell}{\alpha(\operatorname{ch}\ell-\operatorname{sh}\ell\cos 2\theta)}\right) d\theta = \theta - \frac{1}{2\alpha}\log(\operatorname{ch}\ell-\operatorname{sh}\ell\cos 2\theta) + C.$$

It remains to establish the correct range of integration. When $\alpha > \operatorname{sh}\ell$, the integrand is always nonnegative, so the $+$-sign is superfluous, and evaluating (A.14) at θ_0 and 0 we get for (A.13)

$$\text{(A.15)}\qquad \theta_0 - \frac{\ell}{2\alpha}.$$

Consider the case $\alpha < \operatorname{sh}\ell$ and introduce the auxiliary variable $\varphi \in [0,\pi/2]$ with $\sin\varphi = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^2}}$. The positivity condition amounts to $\sin(2\theta+\varphi) \leqslant \sin\varphi\operatorname{cth}\ell$. When $\theta \in [0,\pi/2)$, this condition is satisfied outside the interval (θ_-,θ_+) with

$$\text{(A.16)}\qquad \theta_+ = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\varphi}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\arcsin(\sin\varphi\operatorname{cth}\ell)$$

$$\text{(A.17)}\qquad \theta_- = \frac{1}{2}\arcsin(\sin\varphi\operatorname{cth}\ell) - \frac{\varphi}{2}.$$

Further analysis shows that so long as $\alpha > 2\operatorname{sh}\frac{\ell}{2}$, we have the inclusion $(\theta_-,\theta_+) \subset [0,\theta_0)$, so that the range of integration consists of two intervals, $[0,\theta_-) \cup (\theta_+,\theta_0)$. If $\alpha < 2\operatorname{sh}\frac{\ell}{2}$, then $\theta_+ > \theta_0$, and we need to integrate over but one interval, $[0,\theta_-)$. Exact formulas follow immediately by substituting into the antiderivative formula (A.14).

Now consider the second term of (A.12), which evaluates to

$$\text{(A.18)}\qquad \int_{\theta=\theta_0}^{\pi/2} \left[\frac{1}{\operatorname{ch}\ell-\operatorname{sh}\ell\cos 2\theta}\left(1 - \frac{\sin 2\theta\operatorname{sh}\ell}{\alpha}\right)\right]_+ d\theta.$$

Positivity here is determined by the sign of the expression in parentheses. The antiderivative reads

$$\text{(A.19)}\qquad \operatorname{arctg}(e^{\ell}\operatorname{tg}\theta) - \frac{1}{2\alpha}\log(\operatorname{ch}\ell-\operatorname{sh}\ell\cos 2\theta) + C.$$

If $\alpha > \operatorname{sh}\ell$, the positivity condition holds for all θ, and the integral (A.18) equals

$$\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\ell}{2\alpha} - \operatorname{arctg}(e^{\ell/2}).$$

Otherwise within the interval $[0,\pi/2)$, the integrand is nonzero on the complement of $[\tilde\theta_-,\tilde\theta_+)$ with

$$\tilde\theta_- = \frac{1}{2}\arcsin\frac{\alpha}{\operatorname{sh}\ell} \tag{A.20}$$

$$\tilde\theta_+ = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\arcsin\frac{\alpha}{\operatorname{sh}\ell}. \tag{A.21}$$

When $2\operatorname{sh}\frac{\ell}{2} < \alpha$, $[\tilde\theta_-,\tilde\theta_+] \subset (\theta_0,\pi/2)$, and the range of integration consists of two intervals. When $\alpha < 2\operatorname{sh}\frac{\ell}{2}$, the range of integration of (A.19) consists of the single interval $(\tilde\theta_+,\pi/2)$. Again, the integral (A.18) is evaluated by substituting limits in (A.19).

For $F'_\gamma(\alpha) := \frac{d}{d\alpha}F_\gamma(\alpha)$, this leads to

$$F'_\gamma(\alpha) = \frac{\#\Gamma_w}{\pi\alpha^2}\begin{cases}\ell & \alpha > \operatorname{sh}\ell\\ \ell + \log(1+\alpha^2) - 2\log(\operatorname{ch}\ell + \sqrt{\operatorname{sh}^2\ell - \alpha^2}) & 2\operatorname{sh}\frac{\ell}{2} < \alpha \leqslant \operatorname{sh}\ell\\ \ell - \log(\operatorname{ch}\ell + \sqrt{\operatorname{sh}^2\ell - \alpha^2}) & \alpha \leqslant 2\operatorname{sh}\frac{\ell}{2}.\end{cases} \tag{A.22}$$

Therefore we have for the 2-point correlation density

$$g_2(\xi) := \frac{dR_2}{d\xi}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\vartheta\#\Gamma_w}\sum_{\substack{\gamma\in\Gamma/\Gamma_w\\ \gamma\neq\Gamma_w}} F'_\gamma(\vartheta^{-1}\xi) = \operatorname{vol}_{\mathbb{H}^2}(\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}^2)\sum_{\substack{\gamma\in\Gamma/\Gamma_w\\ \gamma\neq\Gamma_w}} F'_\gamma(\vartheta^{-1}\xi), \tag{A.23}$$

matching the formulas in [3, 8], up to the extra factor of $\#\Gamma_w$ in the definition of ϑ, which is due to counting γ in Γ/Γ_w rather than in Γ as in [3, 8].

References

[1] Lars V. Ahlfors. Möbius transformations and Clifford numbers. In *Differential geometry and complex analysis*, pages 65–73. Springer, Berlin, 1985.

[2] Florin P. Boca. Distribution of angles between geodesic rays associated with hyperbolic lattice points. *Q. J. Math.*, 58(3):281–295, 2007.

[3] Florin P. Boca, Alexandru A. Popa, and Alexandru Zaharescu. Pair correlation of hyperbolic lattice angles. *Int. J. Number Theory*, 10(8):1955–1989, 2014.

[4] Daniel El-Baz, Jens Marklof, and Ilya Vinogradov. The Distribution of Directions in an Affine Lattice: Two-Point Correlations and Mixed Moments. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2015(5):1371–1400, 2015.

[5] Alex Eskin and Curt McMullen. Mixing, counting, and equidistribution in Lie groups. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 71:181–209, July 1993.

[6] Anton Good. *Local analysis of Selberg's trace formula*, volume 1040 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.

[7] Anton Good. On various means involving the Fourier coefficients of cusp forms. *Math. Z.*, 183(1):95–129, 1983.

[8] Dubi Kelmer and Alex Kontorovich. On the pair correlation density for hyperbolic angles. *Duke Math. J.*, 164(3):473–509, 2015.

[9] Grigoriy A. Margulis. *On some aspects of the theory of Anosov systems*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. With a survey by Richard Sharp: Periodic orbits of hyperbolic flows, Translated from the Russian by Valentina Vladimirovna Szulikowska.

[10] Jens Marklof and Andreas Strömbergsson. The distribution of free path lengths in the periodic Lorentz gas and related lattice point problems. *Ann. of Math.*, 172(3):1949–2033, 2010.

[11] Peter Nicholls. A lattice point problem in hyperbolic space. *Michigan Math. J.*, 30(3):273–287, 1983.
[12] Morten S. Risager and Zeév Rudnick. On the statistics of the minimal solution of a linear Diophantine equation and uniform distribution of the real part of orbits in hyperbolic spaces. In *Spectral analysis in geometry and number theory*, volume 484 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 187–194. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.
[13] Morten S. Risager and Anders Södergren. Angles in hyperbolic lattices: The pair correlation density. *arXiv:1409.5683*, September 2014.
[14] Morten S. Risager and Jimi L. Truelsen. Distribution of angles in hyperbolic lattices. *Q. J. Math.*, 61(1):117–133, 2010.
[15] Anders Södergren. On the uniform equidistribution of closed horospheres in hyperbolic manifolds. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 105(2):225–280.
[16] P. L. Waterman. Möbius transformations in several dimensions. *Adv. Math.*, 101(1):87–113, 1993.

School of Mathematics, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TW, U.K.
j.marklof@bristol.ac.uk

School of Mathematics, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TW, U.K.
ilya.vinogradov@bristol.ac.uk